

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,310	02/26/2004	Kazumi Furuta	04115/HG	9200
1933	7590 06/15/2006		EXAMINER	
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC			WOLLSCHLAGER, JEFFREY MICHAEL	
220 Fifth Ave 16TH Floor	nue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NY 10001-7708	10001-7708		
		•	DATE MAILED: 06/15/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/789,310	FURUTA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeff Wollschlager	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communic (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Fe	ebruary 2004.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merit	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	Г.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	,
Attachment(s)	"□ -	(070 440)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- ١. Claims 1-17 and 19, drawn to methods for depicting, manufacturing a mother die of a mold, and manufacturing a mold, classified in class 264, subclasses 1.36, 2.5, 485.
- II. Claims 18 and 20, drawn to a mother die of a mold and a mold, classified in class 249, subclass 187.1.
- III. Claim 21, drawn to an optical element, classified in class 359, various subclasses.
- IV. Claims 22-31, drawn to an apparatus for depicting, classified in class 425, subclass 140.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by another and materially different process such as those discussed in the acknowledged prior art.

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) Art Unit: 1732

that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by another and materially different process such as those discussed in the acknowledged prior art.

Inventions I and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus. The process as claimed does not require many of the same limitations required in the apparatus.

Inventions II, III and IV are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown:

(1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the products can be made by another and materially different apparatus such as those discussed in the acknowledged prior art.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Additionally, should applicant elect the invention of group I, further restriction is required as follows:

- IA. Claims 1-13, drawn to a method of depicting, classified in class 264, subclass 1.36, 485.
- IB. Claims 14-17 and 19, drawn to a method of making a mother mold and a mold, classified in class 264, subclass 2.5.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions IA and IB are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the particular details of the adjusting step as delineated in the subcombination. The subcombination has separate utility such as measuring the height variation across materials.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

A telephone call was made to Mr. Marshall Chick on June 7, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,310 Page 6

Art Unit: 1732

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff Wollschlager whose telephone number is 571-272-

8937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:00 - 4:45,

alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1176. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

 $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}$

Jeff Wollschlager Examiner

Art Unit 1732

June 7, 2006

HRISTINA JOHNSON PRIMARY EXAMINER GUILL